

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fatcht and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BRIASS Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,366	01/11/2002	Stefan B. Edlund	ARC920010086US1	5717
26381	7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER	
LACASSE & ASSOCIATES, LLC 1725 DUKE STREET			ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN	
SUITE 650	SIREEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2165	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/042,366	EDLUND ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Neveen Abel-Jalil	2165			
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	NTE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be avarafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specification. - Failure to reply within the set of	SER, FROM THE MAILING DA silable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e mailing date of this communication. ed above, the maximum statutory period v for extended period for reply will, by statute, the later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a.g. In no event, however, may a reply be rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO date of this communication, even if timely the	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
. 1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on Augu	est 9 2005				
2a) ☐ This action is FIN						
<i>,</i> —	The state of the s					
, — · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	• .					
	and 17-24 is/are nending in th	e annlication				
	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-9 and 17-24</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
•		,,,, ,,om oonolaaraa				
,	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-9 and 21-24</u> is/are allowed. ∑ Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•				
•	are subjected to: are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
	ic subject to restriction under	, ologion rodanomom.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
		epted or b) objected to by the				
		drawing(s) be held in abeyance.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or decla	ration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
· — ·	e * c) None of:	a have been received				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma	ary (PTO-413) il Date.			
	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inform	5) D Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	, , ,	6) 🔲 Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/042,366 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

- 1. The Amendment filed on August 9, 2005 has been received and entered. Claims 6, and 11-16 have been cancelled. Therefore, claims 1-5, 7-10, and 17-24 are now pending.
- 2. The Amendment overcame the 35 USC 101 rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 17 recites the limitation "the location" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 18-20 are dependent on claim 17 and therefore carry the same deficiency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Stilp</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,603,428 B2).

As to claim 17, <u>Stilp</u> discloses a computer-based method for providing a measure of degradation associated with location reports over a period of time, said location reports corresponding to one or more tracked entities, said method comprising:

- a. setting a counter to point to the location first of said tracked entities (See column 18, lines 15-35, also see column 36, lines 17-29);
- b. identifying one or more clusters associated with tracked entity pointed by said counter (See column 18, lines 15-35);
- c. creating N optimal partitions for each of said identified clusters (See column 50, lines 30-58);
- d. identifying an optimal expiration time associated with each of said partitions via time interval analysis (See column 39, lines 1-23, also see column 47, lines 5-32);
- e. incrementing said counter to point to next of said tracked entities and repeating steps bd exhaustively for remainder of said tracked entities (See column 47, lines 5-32);
- f. wherein said location reports, corresponding to one or more tracked entities based upon said identified optimal expiration time, provide a level of confidence as to said location of said tracked entities (See Abstract, also see column 39, lines 1-23).

Application/Control Number: 10/042,366 Page 4

Art Unit: 2165

7. Claims 18-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Allowance

8. Claims 1-5, 7-9, and 21-24 are allowed over prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-10, and 17-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/042,366 Page 5

Art Unit: 2165

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Altschuler et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,195,622 B1) teaches timestamp expirations.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neveen Abel-Jalil whose telephone number is 571-272-4074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Neveen Abel-Jalil November 7, 2005